# Hopf algebroids, Atiyah sequences and noncommutative gauge theories


Giovanni Landi

Trieste



Hopf Algebroids and Noncommutative Geometry

School of Mathematica Sciences Queen Mary University of London

13 July 2023

recent papers

Xiao Han, GL,

Xiao Han, GL, Yang Liu,

Paolo Aschieri, GL, Chiara Pagani,

Abstract

Try to use a gauge algebroid of a noncommutative principal bundle
in order to get a suitable class of gauge transformations

some natural structures

braiding ( TRIANGULAR ) Lie algebras to get bigger classes

a sequenze of braided Lie algebras; its splitting as a connection

Weil algebra

Chern–Weil homomorphism and braided Lie algebra cohomology

upgrade it to Hopf algebra cyclic cohomology

## The classical sequences Atiyah 1957

$\pi : P \to M$ a $G$-principal bundle over $M$

at level of groups

$$1 \to \mathsf{Aut}_{P/G}(P) \to \mathsf{Aut}_G(P) \to \mathsf{Diff}(M) \to 1$$

at level of derivations

$$0 \to \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{X}(P)_G \to \mathcal{X}(M) \to 0$$

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathcal{X}(P)_G^{ver}$ : vertical and invariant; infinitesimal gauge transformation

a splitting of this sequence is a way to give a connection

( horizontal lift or a vertical projection )

an obstruction: $H^1(M, \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega^1(M))$

## Noncommutative principal bundles

- $H$ a Hopf algebra
- $A$ a right $H$-comodule algebra with coaction $\delta^A : A \to A \otimes H$; $\quad \delta(a) = a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)}$

$\Rightarrow$ the subalgebra of coinvariant elements

$$B := A^{coH} = \left\{ b \in A \mid \delta^A(b) = b \otimes 1_H \right\}$$

The extension $B \subseteq A$ is $H$-Hopf–Galois if the canonical Galois map

$$\chi : A \otimes_B A \longrightarrow A \otimes H, \quad a' \otimes_B a \mapsto a' a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)}$$

is an isomorphism

$\chi$ is left $A$-linear, its inverse is determined by the restriction $\tau := \chi^{-1}_{|_{1_A \otimes H}}$

$$\tau = \chi^{-1}_{|_{1_A \otimes H}} : H \to A \otimes_B A \ , \quad h \mapsto \tau(h) = h^{<1>} \otimes_B h^{<2>} \, .$$

the translation map; thus by definition:

$$h^{<1>} {h^{<2>}}_{(0)} \otimes {h^{<2>}}_{(1)} = 1_A \otimes h$$

## Gauge transformations

### Classical

The group $\mathcal{G}_P$ of gauge transformations of a principal $G$-bundle $\pi : P \to P/G$ is the group ( for point-wise product ) of $G$-equivariant maps

$$\mathcal{G}_P := \{\sigma : P \to G;\ \sigma(pg) = g^{-1}\sigma(p)g\}$$

Equivalently, is the subgroup ( for map composition ) of principal bundle automorphisms which are vertical (project to the identity on the base space):

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{P/G}(P) := \{\varphi : P \to P;\ \varphi(pg) = \varphi(p)g,\ \pi(\varphi(p)) = \pi(p)\},$$

These definitions can be dualised for algebras rather than spaces.

For $A = \mathcal{O}(P)$, $B = \mathcal{O}(P/G)$, $H = \mathcal{O}(G)$, the gauge group $\mathcal{G}_P$ of $G$-equivariant maps corresponds to $H$-equivariant maps that are also algebra maps

$$\mathcal{G}_A := \{\mathsf{f} : H \to A;\ \delta^A \circ \mathsf{f} = (\mathsf{f} \otimes \mathsf{id}) \circ \mathsf{Ad}\,,\ \mathsf{f} \text{ algebra map}\}\ .$$

The group structure is the convolution product.

Similarly, the vertical automorphisms description leads to $H$-equivariant maps

$$\mathsf{Aut}_B\, A = \{\mathsf{F} : A \to A;\ \delta^A \circ \mathsf{F} = (\mathsf{F} \otimes \mathsf{id}) \circ \delta^A\,,\ \mathsf{F}|_B = \mathsf{id} : B \to B\,,\ \mathsf{F} \text{ algebra map}\}\ .$$

The noncommutative case Brzezinski

Let $B = A^{coH} \subseteq A$ be a faithfully flat Hopf–Galois extension

The collection $\mathsf{Aut}_H(A)$ of unital algebra maps of $A$ into itself, which are $H$-equivariant,

$$\delta^A \circ \mathsf{F} = (\mathsf{F} \otimes \mathsf{id}) \circ \delta^A \qquad F(a)_{(0)} \otimes F(a)_{(1)} = F(a_{(0)}) \otimes a_{(1)}$$

and restrict to the identity on the subalgebra $B$, is a group by map composition

with inverse operation

$$F^{-1}(a) = a_{(0)} F({a_{(1)}}^{<1>}) \, {a_{(1)}}^{<2>}$$

H.P. Schneider: vertical $H$-equivariant algebra maps are invertible too big vs too small

A left $B$-bialgebroid $\mathcal{C}$ :

a $(B \otimes B^{op})$-ring and a $B$-coring structure on $\mathcal{C}$

with compatibility conditions

There are source and target maps (with commuting ranges)

$$s := \eta(\,\cdot\, \otimes_B 1_B) : B \to \mathcal{C} \quad \text{and} \quad t := \eta(1_B \otimes_B \,\cdot\,) : B^{op} \to \mathcal{C}$$

A weak condition for an antipode        P. Schauenburg

A bialgebroid $\mathcal{C}$ is a Hopf algebroid if the map

$$\lambda : \mathcal{C} \otimes_{B^{op}} \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C} \otimes_B \mathcal{C}, \qquad \lambda(p \otimes_{B^{op}} q) = p_{(1)} \otimes_B p_{(2)} q$$

is invertible

$$\otimes_{B^{op}} \quad pt(b) \otimes_{B^{op}} q = p \otimes_{B^{op}} t(b) q \qquad \otimes_B \quad t(b) p \otimes_B q = p \otimes_B s(b) q$$

For $B = k$, this reduces to the map

$$\lambda : \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}, \qquad p \otimes q \mapsto p_{(1)} \otimes p_{(2)} q$$

which for a usual Hopf algebra with an antipode has inverse

$$p \otimes q \mapsto p_{(1)} \otimes S(p_{(2)}) q$$

Also here, if there is an invertible antipode $S$ a la G. Böhm, one constructs an inverse for the map $\lambda$ ; for $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$\lambda^{-1}(X \otimes_B Y) = S^{-1}(S(X)_{(2)}) \otimes_{B^{op}} S(X)_{(1)} Y$$

No claim that $S$ here is unique

The noncommutative gauge bialgebroid aka Ehresmann–Schauenburg

$B = A^{co\,H} \subseteq A$ be a Hopf–Galois extension

right coaction : $\delta(a) = a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)}$

translation map : $\tau(h) = h^{<1>} \otimes_B h^{<2>}$

The $B$-bimodule $\mathcal{C}(A,H)$ of coinvariant elements for the diagonal coaction,

$$(A \otimes A)^{coH} = \{a \otimes \tilde{a} \in A \otimes A\,;\ a_{(0)} \otimes \tilde{a}_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)}\tilde{a}_{(1)} = a \otimes \tilde{a} \otimes 1_H\}$$

is a $B$-coring with coproduct and counit:

$$\Delta(a \otimes \tilde{a}) = a_{(0)} \otimes \tau(a_{(1)}) \otimes \tilde{a} = a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)}{}^{<1>} \otimes_B a_{(1)}{}^{<2>} \otimes \tilde{a},$$

$$\varepsilon(a \otimes \tilde{a}) = a\tilde{a}.$$

One see $\mathcal{C}(A,H)$ is a subalgebra of $A \otimes A^{op}$ and it is indeed a (left) $B$-bialgebroid

Product $\qquad (x \otimes \tilde{x}) \bullet_{\mathcal{C}(A,H)} (y \otimes \tilde{y}) = xy \otimes \tilde{y}\tilde{x}$

Target and source maps $\qquad t(b) = 1_A \otimes b \quad$ and $\quad s(b) = b \otimes 1_A$

Han, L. ; Han Majid - 2022

The Ehresmann–Schauenburg bialgebroid $\mathcal{C}(A,H)$ of a Hopf–Galois extension is a Hopf algebroid :

If the Hopf algebra $H$ is coquasitriangular with $R$ matrix (a convolution invertible map) $\mathcal{R} : H \otimes H \to k$ ( + conditions),

there is an antipode: the inverse of the braiding induced by $\mathcal{R}$:

$$\Psi(a \otimes \tilde{a}) = a_{(0)} \otimes \tilde{a}_{(0)} \otimes \mathcal{R}(a_{(1)} \otimes \tilde{a}_{(1)})$$

this is an invertible $H$-comodule map with inverse

$$\Psi^{-1}(a \otimes \tilde{a}) = a_{(0)} \otimes \tilde{a}_{(0)} \otimes \mathcal{R}^{-1}(a_{(1)} \otimes \tilde{a}_{(1)})$$

both map restrict to the invariant subspace $\mathcal{C}(A,H)$.

Then $S = \Psi^{-1}$ obeys all properties of an antipode for $\mathcal{C}(A,H)$.

The bialgebroid $\mathcal{C}(A,H)$ of a Hopf–Galois extension as a quantization (of the dualization) of the classical gauge groupoid principal bundle

Its bisections correspond to gauge transformations

$\mathcal{C}(A,H)$ the gauge bialgebroid of a Hopf–Galois extension $B = A^{coH} \subseteq A$

A bisection is a $B$-bilinear unital left character on the $B$-ring $(\mathcal{C}(A,H), s)$:

$$\sigma : \mathcal{C}(A,H) \to B$$

such that:

(1) $\sigma(1_A \otimes 1_A) = 1_B$, unitality,

(2) $\sigma\big(s(b)t(\tilde{b})(x \otimes \tilde{x})\big) = b\sigma(x \otimes \tilde{x})\tilde{b}$, $B$-bilinearity,

(3) $\sigma\big((x \otimes \tilde{x})\, s(\sigma(y \otimes \tilde{y}))\big) = \sigma\big((x \otimes \tilde{x})(y \otimes \tilde{y})\big)$, associativity,

for all $b, \tilde{b} \in B$ and $x \otimes \tilde{x}$, $y \otimes \tilde{y} \in \mathcal{C}(A,H)$.

The collection $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}(A,H))$ of bisections of the bialgebroid $\mathcal{C}(A,H)$ is a group with convolution product :

$$\sigma_1 * \sigma_2(x \otimes \tilde{x}) := \sigma_1((x \otimes \tilde{x})_{(1)})\, \sigma_2((x \otimes \tilde{x})_{(2)}) = \sigma_1(x_{(0)} \otimes {x_{(1)}}^{<1>})\, \sigma_2({x_{(1)}}^{<2>} \otimes \tilde{x})$$

using the $B$-coring coproduct $\Delta(x \otimes \tilde{x}) = (x \otimes \tilde{x})_{(1)} \otimes_B (x \otimes \tilde{x})_{(2)}$

A group isomorphism

$$\alpha : \mathsf{Aut}_H(A) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}(A,H))$$

between gauge transformations and bisections:

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}(A,H)) \ni \sigma \quad \mapsto \quad F_\sigma(a) := \sigma(a_{(0)} \otimes {a_{(1)}}^{<1>})\, {a_{(1)}}^{<2>}, \quad F_\sigma \in \mathsf{Aut}_H(A)$$

$$F \in \mathsf{Aut}_H(A) \ni F \quad \mapsto \quad \sigma_F(a \otimes \tilde{a}) := F(a)\tilde{a}, \quad \sigma_F \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}(A,H))$$

Bisection can be given for any bialgebroid

For the general case one would need additional requirements so to get a proper composition law for bisections

Explicit examples

the monopole bundles over the quantum $\mathsf{S}_q^2$

a not faithfully flat example from SL(2)

the SU(2) - bundle $S_\theta^7 \to S_\theta^4$

the $SO_\theta(2n)$ bundle $SO_\theta(2n+1) \to S_\theta^{2n}$

some example from $q$-geometry

change from automorphisms to derivations
( infinitesimal gauge transformations )

Lie algebras of suitable ‘bisections’

braided versions of them

Atiyah sequences of braided Lie algebras of derivations

## Braiding then

$K$ a Hopf algebra

$K$-equivariant $H$-Hopf–Galois extension $B \subseteq A^H$:

$A$ carries a left action $\triangleright : K \otimes A \to A$ of $K$, compatible with the $H$-coaction:

$$(k \triangleright a)_{(0)} \otimes (k \triangleright a)_{(1)} = k \triangleright (a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)}) \ .$$

Recall: $K$ is quasitriangular if there exists an invertible element $\mathsf{R} \in K \otimes K$ with respect to which the coproduct $\Delta$ of $K$ is quasi-cocommutative

$$\Delta^{cop}(k) = \mathsf{R}\Delta(k)\overline{\mathsf{R}} \qquad \Delta^{cop} := \tau \circ \Delta$$

and $\overline{\mathsf{R}} \in K \otimes K$ the inverse of $\mathsf{R}$, $\mathsf{R}\overline{\mathsf{R}} = \overline{\mathsf{R}}\mathsf{R} = 1 \otimes 1$.

$\mathsf{R}$ is required to satisfy ( these allow for a good representation theory ) ,

$$(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})\mathsf{R} = \mathsf{R}_{13}\mathsf{R}_{23} \qquad \text{and} \qquad (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)\mathsf{R} = \mathsf{R}_{13}\mathsf{R}_{12}.$$

The Hopf algebra $K$ is triangular when $\overline{\mathsf{R}} = \mathsf{R}_{21} = \tau(\mathsf{R})$, $\quad \tau$ the flip.

Use notation $\mathsf{R} = \mathsf{R}^{\alpha} \otimes \mathsf{R}_{\alpha} \in K \otimes K$.

We further assume the Hopf algebra $K$ to be triangular.

This allows for an easy use of braided Lie algebras.

A braided Lie algebra associated with a triangular Hopf algebra $(K, \mathsf{R})$, is a $K$-module $\mathfrak{g}$ with a bilinear map

$$[\ ,\ ] : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$$

that satisfies the following conditions.

(i) $K$-equivariance: for $\Delta(k) = k_{(1)} \otimes k_{(2)}$ the coproduct of $K$,

$$k \triangleright [u, v] = [k_{(1)} \triangleright u, k_{(2)} \triangleright v]$$

(ii) braided antisymmetry:

$$[u, v] = -[\mathsf{R}_\alpha \triangleright v, \mathsf{R}^\alpha \triangleright u],$$

(iii) braided Jacobi identity:

$$[u, [v, w]] = [[u, v], w] + [\mathsf{R}_\alpha \triangleright v, [\mathsf{R}^\alpha \triangleright u, w]]$$

## Infinitesimal gauge transformations

$B = A^{coH} \subseteq A$ a $K$-equivariant Hopf–Galois extension, for $(K, \mathsf{R})$ triangular.

Inside the braided Lie algebra $\mathsf{Der}(A)$ consider the subspace of braided derivations that are $H$-equivariant

$$\mathsf{Der}^{\mathsf{R}}_{\mathcal{M}^H}(A) = \big\{u \in \mathsf{Hom}(A, A) \mid \delta(u(a)) = u(a_{(0)}) \otimes a_{(1)},$$

$$u(aa') = u(a)a' + (\mathsf{R}_\alpha \triangleright a)(\mathsf{R}^\alpha \triangleright u)(a')\,, \text{ for all } a, a' \in A\big\}$$

and then those derivations that are vertical,

$$\mathsf{aut}^{\mathsf{R}}_B(A) := \{u \in \mathsf{Der}^{\mathsf{R}}_{\mathcal{M}^H}(A) \mid u(b) = 0, \text{ for all } b \in B\} \; .$$

Elements of $\mathsf{aut}^{\mathsf{R}}_B(A)$ are regarded as infinitesimal gauge transformations

of the $K$-equivariant Hopf–Galois extension $B = A^{coH} \subseteq A$.

## Atiyah sequences and their splittings

A $K$-equivariant Hopf–Galois extension $B = A^{coH} \subseteq A$

The braided Lie algebra of vertical equivariant derivations

$$\mathsf{aut}_B^{\mathsf{R}}(A) := \{u \in \mathsf{Der}^{\mathsf{R}}_{\mathcal{M}^H}(A) \mid u(b) = 0,\ b \in B\}$$

is a braided Lie subalgebra of equivariant derivations

$$\mathsf{Der}^{\mathsf{R}}_{\mathcal{M}^H}(A) = \{u \in \mathsf{Der}(A) \mid \delta \circ u = (u \otimes \mathsf{id}) \circ \delta\} \ .$$

Each derivation in $\mathsf{Der}^{\mathsf{R}}_{\mathcal{M}^H}(A)$, being $H$-equivariant, restricts to a derivation on the subalgebra of coinvariant elements $B = A^{coH}$

A sequence of braided Lie algebras $\mathsf{aut}_B^{\mathsf{R}}(A) \to \mathsf{Der}^{\mathsf{R}}_{\mathcal{M}^H}(A) \to \mathsf{Der}^{\mathsf{R}}(B)$

When exact,

$$0 \to \mathsf{aut}_B^{\mathsf{R}}(A) \to \mathsf{Der}^{\mathsf{R}}_{\mathcal{M}^H}(A) \to \mathsf{Der}^{\mathsf{R}}(B) \to 0$$

is a version of the Atiyah sequence of a (commutative) principal fibre bundle.

An $H$-equivariant splitting of the sequence is a connection on the bundle

## The general construction

$(K, \mathsf{R})$ a triangular Hopf algebra ; an exact sequence of $K$-braided Lie algebras

$$0 \to \mathfrak{g} \stackrel{\imath}{\to} P \stackrel{\pi}{\to} T \to 0$$

For $B$ an algebra; take $(B, T)$ a braided Lie–Rinehart pair:

$T$ is a $B$-module with a braided Lie algebra morphism $T \to \mathrm{Der}^{\mathsf{R}}(B)$;

$B$ is a $T$-module and $T$ acts as braided derivations of $B$,

$$X(bb') = X(b)b' + (\mathsf{R}_\alpha \triangleright b)(\mathsf{R}^\alpha \triangleright X)(b'), \qquad b, b' \in B, \quad X \in T,$$

and

$$[X, bX']_{\mathsf{R}} = X(b)X' + (\mathsf{R}_\alpha \triangleright b)[(\mathsf{R}^\alpha \triangleright X), X']_{\mathsf{R}}, \qquad b \in B, \quad X, X' \in T.$$

A connection on the sequence is a splitting: a $B$-module map,

$$\rho : T \to P, \qquad \pi \circ \rho = \mathrm{id}_T$$

the 'vertical projection', is the $B$-module map $\omega_\rho : P \to \mathfrak{g}$,

$$\omega_\rho(Y) = Y - \rho(Y^\pi), \qquad Y \in P$$

The extend to which $\rho$ or $\omega_\rho$ fail to be braided Lie algebra morphisms is measured by the *(basic)* curvature

$$\Omega(X,X') := \rho([X,X']_{\mathsf{R}}) - [\rho(X),\rho(X')]_{\mathsf{R}}\,, \qquad X,X' \in T.$$

$\Omega$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued braided two-form on $T$.

The curvature can also be given as a basic $\mathfrak{g}$-valued braided two-form on $P$ (*spatial* curvature):

$$\Omega_{\omega_\rho}(Y,Y') := \Omega(Y^\pi, Y'^\pi), \qquad Y,Y' \in P.$$

$$\Omega_{\omega_\rho}(Y,Y') = [Y,\omega_\rho(Y')]_{\mathsf{R}} + [\omega_\rho(Y),Y']_{\mathsf{R}} - \omega_\rho([Y,Y']_{\mathsf{R}}) - [\omega_\rho(Y),\omega_\rho(Y')]_{\mathsf{R}}.$$

This expression can be read as a *structure equation*:

$$d\omega_\rho = \Omega_{\omega_\rho} + [\omega_\rho,\omega_\rho]_{\mathsf{R}}\ .$$

Here

$$d\zeta(Y,Y') := [Y,\zeta(Y')]_{\mathsf{R}} + [\zeta(Y),Y']_{\mathsf{R}} - \zeta([Y,Y']_{\mathsf{R}}), \qquad Y,Y' \in P.$$

(generalised to higher forms)

There is a Bianchi identity:

$$d\Omega_{\omega_\rho} + [\Omega_{\omega_\rho}, \omega_\rho]_{\mathsf{R}} = 0\ .$$

when the connection is equivariant: $k \triangleright \omega_\rho = \varepsilon(k)\omega_\rho$

this is true ‘the way it is written’

in general:

one needs a suitable interpretation of the curvature as a derivation of the braided Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and a suitable interpretationof the above expression

The space of connections $C(T, \mathfrak{g})$:

an affine space modelled on $B$-module maps $\eta : T \to \mathfrak{g}$

with $\rho : T \to P$ a connection and $\eta : T \to \mathfrak{g}$, the sum $\rho' = \rho + \eta$ is a connection.

An action of the braided Lie algebra $P$: $\quad P \times C(T, \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow C(T, \mathfrak{g})$

$$(Y, \rho) \to \rho + \delta_Y \rho, \qquad (\delta_Y \rho)(X) := [Y, \rho(X)]_{\mathsf{R}} - \mathsf{R}_\alpha \triangleright \rho([\mathsf{R}^\alpha \triangleright Y^\pi, X]_{\mathsf{R}},$$

$(\delta_Y \rho)(X) \in \mathfrak{g}$ or $\delta_Y \rho : T \to \mathfrak{g}$.

For vertical elements $V \in \mathfrak{g}$, this is an infinitesimal gauge transformations:

$$(\delta_V \rho)(X) = [V, \rho(X)]_{\mathsf{R}}\,,$$

thus $\mathfrak{g}$ is the braided Lie algebra of such transformations.

The curvature of the transformed connection $\rho' = \rho + \delta_Y \rho$:

$$\Omega' = \Omega + \delta_Y \Omega - [\delta_Y \rho, \delta_Y \rho]_{\mathsf{R}}$$

for $V \in \mathfrak{g}$ an infinitesimal gauge transformation this reduces to

$$(\delta_V \Omega)(X, X') = [V, \Omega(X, X')]_{\mathsf{R}}.$$

## Calabi pseudo-cohomology

Two sequences are equivalent if there is an isomorphism $P \to P'$ with commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{c} 0 \to \mathfrak{g} \to P \to T \to 0 \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \to \mathfrak{g} \to P' \to T \to 0 \end{array}$$

Classified by $\mathcal{H}^2(T, \mathfrak{g})$, the Calabi pseudo-cohomology of the Lie algebra $T$ with values in $\mathfrak{g}$. If $A$ is abelian $\mathcal{H}^2(T, \mathfrak{g})$ is the CE cohomology group $H^2(T, \mathfrak{g})$.

A pseudo-cochain: a pair $(\phi, \Phi)$,

$\phi : T \to \mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$, $\Phi$ a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued skew map on $T \times T$, such that

$$\phi(X)\phi(X') - \phi(X')\phi(X) = \phi([X, X']) + \mathrm{ad}_{\Phi(X,X')} \qquad X, X' \in T.$$

Such a pair is a 2-pseudo-cocycle if $\delta_\phi(\Phi) = 0$, where

$$\delta_\phi(\Phi)(X, X', X'') = \phi(X) \triangleright \Phi(X', X'') - \Phi([X, X', X'') + c.p.$$

Two such pairs $(\phi, \Phi)$, $(\phi', \Phi')$ are equivalent if there is a map $\eta : T \to \mathfrak{g}$, s.t.

$$\phi'(X) = \phi(X) + +\mathsf{ad}_{\eta(X)}$$

$$\Phi'(X, X') = \Phi(X, X') + (\delta_\phi \eta)(X, X') + [\eta(X), \eta(X')].$$

Equivalent pseudo-cochains leads to equivalent pseudo-cocycles and the space of equivalent classes of 2-pseudo-cocycles is denoted $\mathcal{H}^2(T, \mathfrak{g})$, the order 2 Calabi pseudo-cohomology of the Lie algebra $T$ with values in $\mathfrak{g}$.

Given a splitting of the sequence, that is given a connection $\rho : T \to P$, one construct a pseudo-cocycle $(\phi, \Phi)$ by

$$\phi(X) \triangleright V = [\rho(X), V] \qquad X \in T, V \in \mathfrak{g}$$

$$\Phi(X, X') = \Omega(X, X') = \rho([X, X']) - [\rho(X), \rho(X')]\,, \qquad X, X' \in T.$$

Jacopi identity implies it is a pseudo-cocycle:

$$\delta_\phi(\Phi) = 0$$

this is the Bianchi identity.

Given two connections $\rho$ and $\rho' = \rho + \eta$, the corresponding pseudo-cocycles $(\phi', \Phi')$ and $(\phi, \Phi)$ are equivalent, they belong to the same class in $\mathcal{H}^2(T, \mathfrak{g})$.

Pseudo-cocycles associated with equivalent extensions determine the same class in $\mathcal{H}^2(T, \mathfrak{g})$.

Conversely, given a pseudo-cocycle one construct a sequence of Lie algebras $0 \to \mathfrak{g} \to P \to T \to 0$

cohomologous pseudo-cocycle give equivalent sequences.

The space of equivalent classes of extensions of $T$ by $\mathfrak{g}$ is in a bijective correspondence with $\mathcal{H}^2(T, \mathfrak{g})$.

$\mathcal{H}^2(T, \mathfrak{g})$ is a complicate object in general

## Chern-Weil

An R-symmetric map of degree $q$

$$\varphi : \mathfrak{g} \otimes^{\mathsf{R}} \ldots \otimes^{\mathsf{R}} \mathfrak{g} \to B$$

which intertwining the representation $\mathsf{ad}_{\mathsf{R}} \otimes^{\mathsf{R}} \ldots \otimes^{\mathsf{R}} \mathsf{ad}_{\mathsf{R}}$ of $P$ on $\mathfrak{g} \otimes^{\mathsf{R}} \ldots \otimes^{\mathsf{R}} \mathfrak{g}$ with the action of $P$ on $B$ ( $\mathsf{ad}_{\mathsf{R}}$ is the braided commutator ).

$\alpha$ the braided anti-symmetrization.

Then

$$\varphi_\rho = \alpha \circ f(\Omega \otimes^{\mathsf{R}} \ldots \otimes^{\mathsf{R}} \Omega)$$

is a braided $B$-valued $2q$-form on $T$.

One has:

$$d\varphi_\rho = 0$$

For the cohomology classes:

$$[\varphi_\rho] = [\varphi_{\rho'}] \qquad \rho, \rho' \quad \text{two connections on the sequence}$$

$$\varphi_\rho = \varphi_{\rho'} + d(.....)$$

Consider:

$\mathrm{Inv}^q$ = { all such $\varphi$ as before } $\qquad$ $\mathrm{Inv} = \oplus_q \mathrm{Inv}^q$

$H_{Ch}$ Chevalley cohomology of $(T, B)$

we get a linear map

$$\mathrm{cw} : \mathrm{Inv} \to H_{Ch} \qquad \varphi \to [\varphi_\rho]$$

When pulled back to $P$:

$$\pi^* \varphi_\rho = d(\text{ Chern Simons })$$

## Twisting

The constructions survive under a Drinfeld twists

## Examples from $\theta$-deformations

$$F = e^{\pi i \theta (H_1 \otimes H_2 - H_2 \otimes H_1)} \qquad [H_1, H_2] = 0$$

$$\mathsf{R}_\mathsf{F} = \overline{\mathsf{F}}^2 = e^{-2\pi i \theta (H_1 \otimes H_2 - H_2 \otimes H_1)}$$

## Jordanian twist . $\kappa$-Minkowski

$$\mathsf{F} = \exp\left(u \tfrac{\partial}{\partial u} \otimes \sigma\right) \qquad \sigma = \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{\kappa} P_0\right)$$

$P_0 = iu\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} \qquad [u\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, P_0] = P_0$

In particular $\mathcal{O}(S^4_\theta)$

with generators $b_\mu,\ \mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0,0), (\pm 1, 0), (0, \pm 1)$

the weights for the action of $H_1, H_2$.

Their commutation relations are

$$b_\mu \bullet_\theta b_\nu = \lambda^{2\mu\wedge\nu}\, b_\nu \bullet_\theta b_\mu \qquad \lambda = e^{-\pi i\theta}\ .$$

with sphere relation $\sum_{b_\mu} b^*_\mu \cdot_\theta b_\mu = 1$.

$\mathrm{Der}^{\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{F}}}(\mathcal{O}(S^4_\theta))$ is generated as an $\mathcal{O}(S^4_\theta)$-module by operators $\widetilde{H}_\mu$

defined on the algebra generators as

$$\widetilde{H}_\mu(b_\nu) := \delta_{\mu^*\nu} - b_\mu \bullet_\theta b_\nu$$

and extended to the whole algebra $\mathcal{O}(S^4_\theta)$ as braided derivations:

$$\widetilde{H}_\mu(b_\nu \bullet_\theta b_\tau) = \widetilde{H}_\mu(b_\nu) \bullet_\theta b_\tau + \lambda^{2\mu\wedge\nu} b_\nu \bullet_\theta \widetilde{H}_\mu(b_\tau).$$

They verify

$$\widetilde{H}_\mu(\sum_\nu b^*_\nu \bullet_\theta b_\nu) = 0\,, \qquad \sum\nolimits_\mu b^*_\mu \bullet_\theta \widetilde{H}_\mu = 0$$

In the classical limit $\theta = 0$, the derivations $\widetilde{H}_\mu$ reduce to

$$H_\mu = \partial_{\mu^*} - b_\mu \Delta, \qquad \Delta = \sum_\mu b_\mu \partial_\mu$$

the Liouville vector field.
The weights $\mu$ are those of the five dimensional representation of $so(5)$.

The bracket in $\mathrm{Der}^{\mathsf{R_F}}(\mathcal{O}(S^4_\theta))$ is the braided commutator

$$\begin{aligned}[\widetilde{H}_\mu, \widetilde{H}_\nu]_{\mathsf{R_F}} &:= \widetilde{H}_\mu \circ \widetilde{H}_\nu - \lambda^{2\mu\wedge\nu} \widetilde{H}_\nu \circ \widetilde{H}_\mu \\ &= b_\mu \bullet_\theta \widetilde{H}_\nu - \lambda^{2\mu\wedge\nu} b_\nu \bullet_\theta \widetilde{H}_\mu\end{aligned}$$

The generators $\widetilde{H}_\mu$ can be expressed in terms of their commutators as

$$\widetilde{H}_\nu = \sum_\mu b^*_\mu \bullet_\theta [\widetilde{H}_\mu, \widetilde{H}_\nu]_{\mathsf{R_F}}$$

Denote $\qquad \widetilde{H}^\pi_{\mu,\nu} := [\widetilde{H}_\mu, \widetilde{H}_\nu]_{\mathsf{R_F}} = -\lambda^{2\mu\wedge\nu} \widetilde{H}^\pi_{\nu,\mu}$

Their braided commutators close the braided Lie algebra $so_\theta(5)$ :

$$[\widetilde{H}^\pi_{\mu,\nu}, \widetilde{H}^\pi_{\tau,\sigma}]_{\mathsf{R_F}} = \delta_{\nu^*\tau} \widetilde{H}^\pi_{\mu,\sigma} - \lambda^{2\mu\wedge\nu} \delta_{\mu^*\tau} - \lambda^{2\tau\wedge\sigma} (\delta_{\nu^*\sigma} \widetilde{H}^\pi_{\mu,\tau} - \lambda^{2\mu\wedge\nu} \delta_{\sigma^*\mu} \widetilde{H}^\pi_{\nu,\tau})$$

## The instanton $\mathcal{O}(SU(2))$ Hopf–Galois extension $\mathcal{O}(S^4_\theta) \subset \mathcal{O}(S^7_\theta)$.

A short exact sequence of braided Lie algebras

$$0 \to \mathrm{aut}_{\mathcal{O}(S^4_\theta)}(\mathcal{O}(S^7_\theta)) \xrightarrow{\imath} \mathrm{Der}_{\mathcal{M}^H}(\mathcal{O}(S^7_\theta)) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}(S^4_\theta)) \to 0$$

$\mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}(S^4_\theta))$ generated as before by elements $\widetilde{H}^\pi_{\mu,\nu}$

$\mathrm{Der}_{\mathcal{M}^H}(\mathcal{O}(S^7_\theta))$ generated by (explicit) derivations $\widetilde{H}_{\mu,\nu}$ realising a representation of $so_\theta(5)$ as derivations on $\mathcal{O}(S^7_\theta)$ and

$$\pi(\widetilde{H}_{\mu,\nu}) = \widetilde{H}^\pi_{\mu,\nu}.$$

$\mathrm{aut}_{\mathcal{O}(S^4_\theta)}(\mathcal{O}(S^7_\theta))$ vertical and equivariant ( alternatively via a connection )

The horizontal lift: the $\mathcal{O}(S^4_\theta)$-module map $\rho : \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}(S^4_\theta)) \to \mathrm{Der}_{\mathcal{M}^H}(\mathcal{O}(S^7_\theta))$ defined on the generators $\widetilde{H}_\nu$ of $\mathrm{Der}^{\mathsf{R}_\mathsf{F}}(\mathcal{O}(S^4_\theta))$ as

$$\rho(\widetilde{H}_\nu) := \sum_\mu b^*_\mu \bullet_\theta \widetilde{H}_{\mu,\nu}$$

is a splitting of the sequence above .

The corresponding vertical projection is the $\mathcal{O}(S^4_\theta)$-module map

$\Psi : \mathrm{Der}_{\mathcal{M}^H}(\mathcal{O}(S^7_\theta))) \to \mathrm{aut}_{\mathcal{O}(S^4_\theta)}(\mathcal{O}(S^7_\theta)$

$$\Psi(\widetilde{H}_{\mu,\nu}) := \widetilde{H}_{\mu,\nu} - \rho(\widetilde{H}^{\pi}_{\mu,\nu}) = \widetilde{H}_{\mu,\nu} - \big(b_\mu \bullet_\theta \rho(\widetilde{H}_\nu) - \lambda^{2\mu\wedge\nu}\, b_\nu \bullet_\theta \rho(\widetilde{H}_\mu)\big)$$

These derivations generated the algebra $\mathrm{aut}_{\mathcal{O}(S^4_\theta)}(\mathcal{O}(S^7_\theta))$.

The curvature

$$\Omega(X,Y) := [\rho(X), \rho(Y)]_{\mathsf{R_F}} - \rho([X,Y]_{\mathsf{R_F}}) = \imath \circ \Psi[\rho(X), \rho(Y)]_{\mathsf{R_F}}$$

One finds $\qquad [\rho(\widetilde{H}_\mu), \rho(\widetilde{H}_\nu)]_{\mathsf{R_F}} = \widetilde{H}_{\mu,\nu}$

Then

$$\Omega(\widetilde{H}_\mu, \widetilde{H}_\nu) = \widetilde{H}_{\mu,\nu} - \big(b_\mu \bullet_\theta \rho(\widetilde{H}_\nu) - \lambda^{2\mu\wedge\nu}\, b_\nu \bullet_\theta \rho(\widetilde{H}_\mu)\big) = \imath \circ \Psi(\widetilde{H}_{\mu,\nu}).$$

There is also a connection 1-form; it is anti-selfdual.

An action of braided conformal transformations

$$so_\theta(5,1)$$

yields noncommutative families of anti-selfdual connections

## Galois objects

of a Hopf algebra $H$ ( noncommutative principal bundle over a point )

An $H$-Hopf–Galois extension $A$ of the ground field $\mathbb{C}$.

Examples:

Group Hopf algebras $H = \mathbb{C}[G]$ : equivalence classes of $\mathbb{C}[G]$-Galois objects are in bijective correspondence with the cohomology group $H^2(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$

$H^2(\mathbb{Z}^r, \mathbb{C}^\times) = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{r(r-1)/2}$: infinitely many iso classes of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}^r]$-Galois objects

Taft algebras : $q$ a primitive $N$-th root of unity; $T_N$, neither commutative nor cocommutative Hopf algebra; generators $x$, $g$ with relations:

$$x^N = 0\,, \quad g^N = 1\,, \quad xg - q\,gx = 0\,.$$

coproduct: $\Delta(x) := 1 \otimes x + x \otimes g, \qquad \Delta(g) := g \otimes g$

counit: $\varepsilon(x) := 0, \varepsilon(g) := 1$, and antipode: $S(x) := -xg^{-1}, S(g) := g^{-1}$.

## Summing up:

Worked out a gauge algebroid for a noncommutative principal bundle

A suitable class of ( infinitesimal ) gauge transformations

Infinite dimensional Hopf algebra ( of possibly braided derivations )

A Chern-Weil homomorphisms and characteristic classes

Chern-Simons terms

some natural structures but we are only at the beginning ...

Thanks